Statement-II

Qty. MT in tech. Gr.

Value (Rs. in lakhs)

	1988-89		1989-90		1990-91	
	Qty.	Val.	Qty.	Val.	Qty.	Val.
Aldicarb	N.A.		N.A.		N.A.	
Aldrin£££	57	5850	26	3040	116	15580
Carbaryl£	230	12417	29	1863	N.A.	
Chlordane£	2	139	12	866	6	1151
Dieldrin	NIL		NIL		NIL	
Heptachlorf	42	2551	62	5150	45	4411
Sodium Cyanide	NIL		NIL		NIL	
Chlorbanzilate .	N.A.		NA.		NA.	
Tetradifon	9	1183	N.A.		N.A.	

N.A.—Not Available

£—Banned in India w.e.f. 17th Feb., 1992 ££—

Being phased out w.e.f. 31st Dec, 1993

Scarcity relief for Karnataka

@4103. SHRI B. K. HARIflRASAD: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Karnataka Governrent have sought scarcity relief to meet the •serious situation in the state;

(b) if so, what are the details of the proposals indicating action taken thereon; and

(c) what is the quantum of relief asked for and proposed to be given and reasons for the shortfall and gap, if any?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI MULLAPPALLY RAMACHANDRAN): (a) to (c) In its memorandum submitted in December, 1991 Government of Karnataka have sought additional Central assistance of Rs. 65.695 crores for relief measures in the drought affected areas for the following programmes:—

Rs. in crores.

(1) Employment Generation Programme.	50.40
(II) Supply of drinking water,	4,845
(III) Supply of fodder and Veterinary care.	10.45
Total:	65.695

Under the existing scheme of financial relief expenditure, State Government is (required to undertake relief measures in the wake of natural calamities from the provisions of the Calamity Relief Fund. The Central Government need provide additional Central assistance only in the case of a calamity warranting handling at

@Previously Unstarred Question No. 2787, transferred from 16th March, 1992.

the National level. The memorandum of the State Government has been considered in the light of existing scheme for financing the relief expenditure and the severity of the drought conditions in the State and it has been decided that no additional Central assistance is required to be provided to the State. The Central Government has already released its entire share of Rs. 20.25 crores to the Calamity Relief Fund of the State during the current financial year.

Mosquito Repellents

@4104. SHRI B. K. HARIPRASAD: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

fa) whether several brands of mosquito repliants are being marketed in India without any registration or licence even though these contain toxic pesticides;

(b) whether Allethrins commonly used in these coils was imported by two firms during 1988-89 worth about Rs. 1.50 crores and during 1989-90 it was imported for the worth about 34 lakhs by 4 firms making huge profits on resale and reuse and if so the the details thereof;

(c) whether Government are aware that fresh information and data collected by USEPA implicates that Allethrins being highly toxic and unsuitable for use in closed environment; and

(d) whether Government propose to stop imports and advertisements of all pesticides such as HIT on DD?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI MULLAPPALLY RAMACHANDRAN): (a) Registration Committee set up under the Insecticides Act, 1968 has granted registrations for manufacture and sale of several brands of mosquito repellants only after satisfying itself regarding efficacy and safety of these insecticides.

(b) Two firms imported Allethrin worth about Rs. 1.50 crores during 1989-90 and three firms imported this chemical for Rs. 34.78 lakhs during 1990-91. Details are as under:—

Year	Importer *	Quantity (MT)	CIF Value (in Rs.)
1989-90	. (i) M/s. Daffodil Chemical Pvt. Ltd.	0.84	21,74,930
	(ii) Transelectra Domestic Pvt: Ltd	5.040	1,29,12,138
			1,50,87,068
1990-91 .	. (i) M/s. Draffodil Chemical Pvt. Ltd.	0.460	12,70,869
	(ii) M/s. Sun up Botonical	0.200	5,07,500
	(iii) M/s. Night Queen Chemicals	0 600	16,99,932
			34,78,301

@Previously Unstarred Question 2269, transferred from the 12th March, 1992.